Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 02/19/2023

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 1**

Team 15

Nigel Fulton, Austin Hyang, Matt Rafferty, Jake Sorensen

SR&R 1 Documentation Grade: **53** SR&R 1 Presentation Grade: **78**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 1 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  **1**  Look all of our comments and Mr. O’Dor’s comments in the pdf file and address what it says. You missed 60 points unnecessarily! |

#### SR&R 1 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 1 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents** |  |  |
| Documents from Document Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 0 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **20** | 0 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 1 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Team Roles & Team Charter** | **6** | 4 |
| **Project Plan** | **10** | 0 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 53 |

#### SR&R 1 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Company Background & Current Environment | **5** | 5 |
| Problem Analysis discussion (BPA, BPI, BPR) | **15** | 12 |
| Proposed System Objectives, and Constraints | **5** | 5 |
| Expected Benefits | **5** | 5 |
| Initial Context Diagram | **15** | 12 |
| Initial Use Case Diagram | **15** | 11 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Initial Product Backlog | **5** | 0 |
| Sprint 1 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 1 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 0 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 78 |

**Comments:**

* **Missing Items**
  + You did not include your system product backlog in the presentation which was worth 5-points
  + You did not include a PSPI in the presentation which was worth 10 points
  + You did not include a Project Plan which is your Gannt chart showing the six sprints and your timeline – that was 10 points toward your documentation grade
  + No CD or UCD in the project report—that was 35 points!
* **Team Charter**
  + You did not state who is doing each role . . . nor did you put your names on the charter
* **Team Sprint Evaluation**
  + Nice job. Please be sure to put None under the 5th heading. If it is blank we are not sure if you forgot to put something
* **BPA**
  + It is BPA and not BPI since there is nothing digital you are going to be improving. There is no existing system you are going to be adding to
* **CD**
  + Where is the CD in the project report? That was 20 points!
  + Look at presentation 5 to see what the UCD and CD should look like—you do not have to use color on the CD
  + Entities are squared rectangles
  + Remove System IT since you are building a system
  + Entity names are not consistent with actor names on UCD
* **UCD**
  + Where is the UCD in the project report? That was 15 points!
  + Human actors are to be on the left side of the use cases
  + Remove arrowheads, these should only be lines
  + Color code each actor
  + Start all lines from the same point and the lines should be straight
  + Secondary actors are rectangles and labeled <<System>> -they go on the right side of the use cases—I do not believe you need system, but you do need management—unless employees and management are users and then you need to decide if they are different or the same. If different then you have two actors, if the same you have one entity
  + Email me if you have questions
* **Burndown Chart**
  + Good job. Interesting that you all report much more time worked than what you had on the burndown! That is normal . . . 12.5 versus 37 hours –maybe not that big of a difference, but it is normal
* **Backlogs**
  + Product System Backlog
    - It is normal not to have any user requirements at this point. For Sprint 2 you will want some requirements listed. Do not list anything dealing with documentation since this backlog is for the system and should only be user requirements.
  + Sprint Backlog
    - Nice job
  + Both backlogs are to be shown in the presentation—look at the grade sheet for what is required
* **SCRUM Meeting Log**
  + Look good
  + Only record information when the whole team meets
* **Extra Comments**
  + Remember, your project report will continue to grow as you complete each sprint
  + TOC
    - Look at the Sprint 6 grade sheet to see what the TOC is supposed to contain
  + **Remember, this is a business document, not an APA research paper. Therefore, it needs to be single-spaced, no paragraph indention, one line space between paragraphs, a sans-serif font such as Arial, Helvetica, or Calibri, and the use of center and side headings with two line spacing from previous paragraph to heading**
    - **This means:**
      * **change the font**
      * **change the line spacing**
      * **add spacing**
      * **start each new Section (Project Description; Analysis; Design; etc) at the top of a new page and change its style to bold and its font size +2 of what your paragraph font size is to make it stand out**
      * **If you have questions please ask!**
  + Use your sprint grade sheet as a check list of what needs to be submitted along with your SR&R pdf file
  + Mr. O’Dor gave you a 2.3/4 which is a 57.5% on your presentation! We gave you a 78. Remember, his grade for sprint 6 is the presentation grade! Read his comments and do his recommendations.
  + **I am willing to add your scores for the UCD and CD if, and only if, this was a complete oversight, and you are taking full accountability and responsibility for it. If that is the case, email me and let me know with your justification as to why I should add the scores.**